



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/767,214

01/27/2004

Mark A. Etter

JK01477I

2579

28268 7590 12/06/2010
THE BLACK & DECKER CORPORATION
701 EAST JOPPA ROAD, TW199
TOWSON, MD 21286

EXAMINER

RAO, SHEELA S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2123

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

12/06/2010

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/767,214	Applicant(s) ETTER ET AL.	
	Examiner Sheela Rao	Art Unit 2123	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 August 2010.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-23 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 24-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9 August 2010 has been entered.

2. Claims 1-30 are pending and presented for examination. Claims 1-23 were previously withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 24-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 5,524,514 to Hadaway et al. in view of US Patent Application Publication No. US 2001/0028025 A1 to Pease.

The published invention by Hadaway et al. (hereinafter referred to as "Hadaway") teaches of a numerically controlled table saw fence assembly. The disclosure of the

invention of prior art teaches the limitations of the instant invention as stated herein below.

Claim 24 cites a table saw assembly, comprising a frame coupled with a table, the table having an aperture – see Fig. 1; a fence adjustably coupled with the table, the fence for establishing a distance from the aperture - the fence is shown in Fig. 1 as item 12; a power tool control system coupled with the fence, the power tool control system for establishing various measurements and settings of the table saw assembly – shown as item 13 in Fig. 1, the power tool control system further comprising: a base for coupling with the fence - the placement of the control system is explained in col. 2 beginning at line 16 including a microcontroller (13), i.e. power tool control system, is coupled to the fence system (12) of the table saw, see col. 1:ll. 20-22, 39-45, col. 2:ll. 16-29, and patented claim 1. While Hadaway teaches the elements of the table saw assembly including a fence, the patented invention falls short of teaching of a non-contact measurement and alignment device coupled with the base, the non-contact measurement and alignment device operative with the table saw assembly for determining table saw assembly settings as per the instant claims. However, the prior art of Pease teaches the presence and use of a non-contact measurement and alignment device in a similar setting. A non-contact measurement and alignment device coupled with the base, the non-contact measurement and alignment device configured to measure a distance and operative with the table saw assembly for determining table saw settings based at least in part on the measured distance – is shown as item 10 in Fig. 6; a graphical user interface communicatively coupled with the non-contact

measurement and alignment device, the graphical user interface for user operation of the table saw assembly for indicating at least two of the table saw assembly settings – shown as item 10; and a display menu which logically relates folders providing table saw assembly setting options and readouts of current settings - see item 10 in Fig. 6.

Pease discloses the device to have an image processor allowing its display to be graphic and converts the various user inputs via a touch-screen into multiple commands for the device. These various user inputs and touch-screen display represent the folders presented by the device to the user, as stated in paragraphs [0020-0021].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included the portable power tool control system as that of Pease upon a table saw assembly as shown by Hadaway in lieu of the microcontroller used by Hadaway so as to have a power tool control system that is coupled with the assembly on a table saw.

Claims 25 and 26 further define the non-contact measurement and alignment device as comprising a laser source wherein the laser source is a laser light indicia. The disclosure of Pease explains the presence and use of laser light in paragraph [0015].

Claim 27 includes a kerf correction within the non-contact measurement and alignment device. By definition, according to the Online Merriam-Webster dictionary, a kerf is stated as being “the width of a cut made by a saw or cutting torch.” With this interpretation of the claimed limitation, the disclosure of Pease teaches the non-contact

measurement and alignment device taking the measurement of a width of an object as described in paragraph [0023].

Claim 28 defines the non-contact measurement and alignment device as a modular device. The reference of prior art shows in Fig.1 the modular aspect of the invention, paragraphs [0014-0015] describe the portable measurement device.

Claim 29 requires a graphical user interface to be communicatively coupled with the non-contact measurement and alignment device in the table saw assembly. Pease teaches the use of a graphical user interface, by showing item 16 in Fig. 1 and describing this element in paragraph [0021].

Claim 30 has the table saw assembly further comprising a computing system communicatively coupled with the non-contact measurement and alignment device and the graphical user interface. Paragraph [0019] explains the duties of the controller or computing system of the published invention.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed on August 9, 2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Independent claim 24 has been amended to recite "... a non-contact measurement and alignment device coupled with the base, the non-contact measurement and alignment device configured to measure a distance and operative with the table saw assembly for determining table saw assembly settings based at least in part on the measured distance ..." (underlined text denotes amendments). Applicant

argues that the reference of prior art to Hadaway does not teach or suggest using measurements input from anything other than a user. Examiner agrees that may be so; however, the instant disclosure does not seem to support the newly added elements of the limitation nor do the claims recite the alternative. The claim language conveys that the measurement device only determines a table saw setting not an automatic change of any type. Based upon Applicant's arguments, their interpretation of the amended language is to relay automatic changes in the settings of the measurement device which is not a claimed element or feature of the instant invention. As per the detailed description of the instant invention, in paragraph [0227] of the published application the measurements are NOT fed to the computing system as the computing system in any way but the computing system only "enables" a user to adjust the components. As paragraph [0227] recites – “ ... assembly coupled with the computing system **may enable the measurement** of the blade height, distance from a fence to the saw blade, and the beveled angle of the saw blade ... which operationally engages with the circular saw blade to measure its height above the tabletop, distance from a fence, or beveled angle. The established measurement is then displayed on a display screen of the computing system. The computing system may further **enable the user with the ability to adjust** the blade height, distance from fence, and beveled angle of the saw blade. ...” (emphasis added). It is not clear how this “enabling” of a user is different from Hadaway's teaching where a user inputs measurements, especially since *the user is enable with the ability to adjust* measurements. Essentially, both the instant invention and Hadaway give the user the ability to control or change or adjust measurements.

Therefore, the amended elements of the instant claims do not overcome the prior art of record and present the claims in an allowable manner.

The Examiner has discussed this feature of the instant invention with Applicant's representative, Mr. Michael Aronoff, during a telephone conversation within the last two weeks. Mr. Aronoff is invited to contact the Examiner should any questions or concerns regarding the Office's interpretation arise.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheela Rao whose telephone number is (571) 272-3751. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Wednesday from 9:00 am to 3:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Rodriguez, can be reached on (571) 272-3753. The fax number for the organization where this application or any proceeding papers has been assigned is (571) 273- 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. It should be noted that status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see [http:// pair-direct.uspto.gov](http://pair-direct.uspto.gov). Should any questions arise regarding access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Sheela Rao/
Examiner, Art Unit 2123
December 1, 2010

/KIDEST BAHTA/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2123